



Unfixing Meaning: Multiplicity in Poststructuralist Feminist Theory

Anjamin Aktar

Former Student, M.A. in English, The Sanskrit College and University, Kolkata, West Bengal

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.70798/tgjct/010400039>

Abstract

This study examines the relationship between Poststructuralism and feminism, focusing on how poststructuralist feminist theory challenges the idea of fixed, stable or universal meaning in language, identity and gender. Drawing on the theoretical contributions of Jacques Derrida, Michel Foucault, Roland Barthes, Julia Kristeva, Judith Butler and Simone de Beauvoir, the paper examines how meaning is constituted through discourse, power relations, and cultural practices, rather than existing as a stable or universal truth. Using a qualitative and theoretical research approach, the study analyzes key poststructuralist concepts such as deconstruction, difference, discourse and gender performativity to explain how feminist theory reinterprets subjectivity and identity. The discussion highlights that categories such as “women,” “femininity,” and “motherhood” are not natural or biologically determined but socially constructed through language and cultural narratives. Poststructuralist feminist theory therefore exposes the instability of hierarchical binaries and challenges patriarchal discourses that attempt to stabilize gender identities. By emphasizing multiplicity, fluidity, and interpretive openness, this creative approach creates space for diverse and marginalized voices and allows for alternative readings of gendered identities and texts. The study concludes that the intersection of poststructuralism and feminism offers a powerful analytic perspective for understanding gender as dynamic, relational, and historically contingent within contemporary cultural discourse.

Keywords: Poststructuralism, Feminism, Deconstruction, Gender Performativity, Discourse, Multiplicity

Introduction

Poststructuralism emerged in France in late twentieth century as a critical response to structuralist approaches that assumed the existence of stable structures governing language, culture, and meaning. Poststructuralism challenges this assumption by questioning that meaning and the reliability of fixed interpretive frameworks. Rather than viewing meaning is produced through complex interactions between language, context, power relations, and cultural discourse. since language itself is not transparent or fixed, the meanings it produces cannot be permanently stabilized. Instead, meaning remains open to reinterpretation and reconsideration.

Feminism, is an intellectual and political movement, seeks to challenge patriarchal structures and promote gender equality in social, political and economic spheres. Feminism critiques patriarchal structures that marginalized women intellectually and socially. Aristotle viewed woman as naturally inferior, while Thomas Aquinas described woman as a “defective” or “misbegotten” male. Samuel Johnson defined “feminine” as softness, tenderness, and delicacy. Friedrich Nietzsche also portrayed women in problematic terms, often depicting them as irrational whose emotional nature distracted men from rational and philosophical pursuits.

Feminist theory emerged in response to these historical inequalities and developed through several waves of intellectual and political activism. First Wave (Late 19th – Early 20th Century) focuses on women’s suffrage,

property rights and legal rights. Landmark events such as the Seneca Falls Convention in 1848. The Second Wave feminism (1960s – 1980s) emphasized that “the personal is political”, advocating work place equality and reproductive rights. The Third-wave feminism (1990s - 2010s) promoted diversity and fluid gender. The Fourth Wave of feminism (around 2010 – present) uses digital activism and emphasizes Kimberle Crenshaw’s idea that oppression intersects across race, class, sexuality and other identities.

Poststructuralism significantly influenced feminist thought by questioning the idea of a fixed or universal category of woman. Poststructuralist feminism argues that gender is not a natural or biological essence but a social construct produced through language, discourse, and power relations. Thinkers such as Julia Kristeva, Helene Cixous explored how language shapes gender identity and challenged patriarchal system of representation. Similarly, Simone de Beauvoir’s assertion that “one is not born, but becomes a woman” highlights the socially constructed nature of gender.

Thus, Poststructuralist feminism emphasizes the instability of meaning and the fluidity of identity. By “unfixing rigid definitions of gender, it challenges patriarchal discourses and opens up possibilities for more inclusive and dynamic understandings of identity and representation.

Literature Review

In “structuralism and Poststructuralism: A comparative study,” Naushad Umarsharif Shaikh systematically examines the theoretical foundations and historical evolution of structuralism and Poststructuralism. He highlights key differences in methodology, conceptions of meaning, and the role of the subject, and explores their influence on disciplines such as myth studies, psychoanalysis, Marxism, feminism, and architecture. This study emphasizes the broader implications of these paradigms for understanding culture, society, and human experience.

In “Feminism: A Philosophical Study,” Jyothy Jampala analyzes feminism as a movement and philosophical perspective, outlining its origins, types, and the role of women in Indian society. She also examines international feminist thought, highlighting Simone de Beauvoir (*The Second Sex*) in France, Betty Friedan in the United States, and Sheila Rowbotham in Britain, showing the global evolution of feminist ideas.

In “Contemporary Discourse on Third World Feminism: A Critical Analysis,” Aashita examines the evolution of feminist thought, outlining its waves and key strands, including Black Feminism, Ecofeminism and Postcolonial Feminism. She emphasizes global feminism, which recognizes the diverse social, cultural and political realities of women, highlighting voice of resistance from Africa, Asia and Latin America.

Several scholars have explored feminist perspectives in literature, including Deepa M. Madiwal (“Sunetra Gupta’s Novels: A Feminist Study”), Sridharan P. (“Feminism in the Select Novels of Anitha Nair”), and Mamidi Nanaish (“Feminism in Rabindranath Tagore’s Fiction”).

But my aim of this study is to explore the relationship between poststructuralism and feminism, focusing on how both approaches investigate the instability of meaning in poststructuralist feminist analysis through different theoretical frameworks.

Objectives

1. To examine how poststructuralist feminist theory challenges the notion of fixed and stable meaning in language, identity and gender.
2. To analyze the concept of multiplicity and fluidity of meaning within poststructuralist feminist discourse.
3. To explore how feminist theorists reinterpret subjectivity and identity through poststructuralist frameworks.
4. To investigate the influence of poststructuralist thought on feminist theory, particularly in destabilizing essentialist understandings of gender.

Methodology

This study adopts qualitative and theoretical research approach. Rather than focusing on the analysis of specific literary texts, the research engages in conceptual and critical examination of poststructuralist feminist theories. The study investigates how the notion of multiplicity challenges the idea of fixed or stable meanings within feminist theoretical discourse.

Result

- i. Gender meanings are unstable and continuously reconstructed through discourse.
- ii. Female identities are plural, fragmented, and context dependent.
- iii. Traditional gender binaries privilege masculinity but are inherently unstable.
- iv. Language both enforces gender norms and enables resistance to them.
- v. Categories like “woman,” “femininity,” and “motherhood” are socially constructed rather than natural truths.
- vi. Poststructuralist feminist theory challenges the notion of a universal female experience.
- vii. Power relations are embedded in the formation and regulation of gendered identities.
- viii. Deconstructive analysis reveals that hierarchical oppositions can be destabilized and reimagined.
- ix. Spaces for resistance emerge within language, allowing reinterpretation of normative gender roles.

Overall, the research demonstrate the poststructuralist feminist theory effectively “unfixes” meaning by revealing gender, identity, and subjectivity as fluid, unstable, multiple that socially produced phenomena.

Discussion

The findings of this study reaffirm a central idea of poststructuralism: meaning is never fixed but is constantly produced through changing discursive relations. In poststructuralist feminist theory, the idea of “unfixing meaning” can be traced to the work of Jacques Derrida challenges his essay *Structure, Sign, and play in the Discourse of the Human Sciences*. Derrida challenges the structuralist belief that systems of thought depend on a stable centre that guarantees meaning. According to him, Western philosophy has long relied on fixed centers such as truth, God, reason, or man to stabilize interpretation and authority. Derrida argues that modern intellectual developments have destabilized this model by revealing that the centre itself is constructed rather than naturally given. Once this Centre is questioned, meaning becomes open to what Derrida calls “free play,” where interpretations shift and multiply rather than remaining stable. Through his method of deconstruction, Derrida also shows how western thought relies on hierarchical binary oppositions such as speech/writing, presence/ absence, and nature/ culture. These binaries privilege one term over the other, but Derrida demonstrates that each term depends on its opposite meaning, which ultimately destabilizes the hierarchy.

A key concept that explains this instability is *Differance*, Derrida’s term combining the idea of difference and deferral. Meaning emerges through the difference between signs, but it is also constantly deferred because each sign refers to other signs in an endless chain. As a result, meaning is never fully present or final; instead, it exists through traces and shifting relations within language. This insight is particularly important for poststructuralist feminist theory, which used Derrida’s ideas to question fixed definitions of gender and identity. Feminist theorists argue that categories such as “women” are not natural or stable but are produced through discourse and cultural structures. By revealing the instability of language and the hierarchical binaries that sustain patriarchal thinking, Derrida’s theory provide a framework for understanding multiplicity. It enables feminist criticism to challenge dominant meanings and create space for diverse and plural interpretations of gender and identity.

Building on the theoretical framework of Jacques Derrida, particularly his concept of *differance*, meaning can be understood as emerging not from a stable presence but from a continuous process of difference and deferral within language. This understanding destabilizes the structuralist assumption that linguistic systems

produce fixed and stable meaning. Instead, poststructuralist thought suggests that meaning is always in state of dissemination, shaped by cultural contexts, power relations, and interpretive practices. The multiplicity seen in feminist discourse therefore reflects a broader epistemological shift- from essentialist interpretations of identity towards more fluid and relational understandings of representation.

Ronald Barthes challenges the traditional view that the author is the single source of meaning in a text. In his essay *The Death of the Author*, he argues that once a text is written it becomes a “tissue of quotations,” formed from many cultural and linguistic influences. Meaning, therefore, is not fixed by the author’s intension. Instead, it emerges through language, reference to other texts, and the reader’s interpretation. By rejecting the idea of the “Author – God” and emphasizing the role of the reader or “scriptor,” Roland Barthes presents the text as open to multiple meanings rather than a single authoritative interpretation.

This perspective strongly aligns with poststructuralist feminist criticism. Feminist poems theorists seek to challenge fixed, patriarchal interpretations and reveal how meaning is shaped by discourse, ideology, and cultural codes. Barthes’s emphasis on Intertextuality, the instability of meaning and the reader’s role in producing interpretation supports feminist arguments that texts contain multiple and often competing voices rather than a single dominant perspective. In this way, Barthes’s theory contributes to the feminist aim of “unfixing meaning,” showing that interpretation is fluid and shaped by different cultural and gendered positions.

A key implication of this approach is the decentering of authorial authority in cultural and textual production emphasis on Barthes’s argument in *The Death of the Author* (1967). He rejects the idea that meaning originates from the author’s intention. Instead, texts function as sites where multiple cultural codes intersect. For feminist criticism, this shift is significant because it allows readers to question the patriarchal assumptions embedded in traditional narratives and reinterpret texts from diverse gendered perspectives. By displacing the authority of the author, this approach creates interpretive space for marginalized voices and alternative feminist readings.

Michel Foucault is important to the post-structuralist idea of “unfixing meaning” because he argued that knowledge and meaning are not permanent truths but are created through historically specific systems of discourse. In *The Archaeology of Knowledge* (1969), he explains that what we understand as truth or knowledge depends on the discursive formations of a particular time rather than on universal or stable meanings. In *The History of Sexuality, The Will to Knowledge* (1976), he further shows that ideas about sexuality and identity are produced through relations of power and knowledge within institutions such as medicine, law, and religion. These insights strongly influence poststructuralist feminist theory because they help challenge the idea that categories like “woman” or gender identity are natural and fixed. Instead, gender can be understood as something shaped by the changing social discourses and practices. Building on Foucauldian ideas, thinkers such as Judith Butler argue that gender is performative and produced through repeated social norms, while Julia Kristeva highlights the instability of language and subjectivity. In this way, Foucault’s work supports the poststructuralist feminist view that meanings and identities are fluid, multiple, and constantly being reshaped.

Julia Kristeva challenges the idea that language has fixed or stable meaning. She explains this through the interaction between two aspects of language: the symbolic and the semiotic. The symbolic aspect refers to the structured system of language shaped by social rules, grammar, and cultural norms. It organizes meaning and helps construct identities, including socially defined gender roles. In contrast, the semiotic comes from bodily drives, rhythms, emotions and pre- linguistic impulses that exist before structured language. Because these two dimensions constantly interact, meaning is never completely stable; it is always shifting and open to reinterpretation. This idea connects strongly with poststructuralist feminist thought, which argues that identity and gender are not fixed or natural but are fluid, multiple, and shaped by social and cultural contexts. Through

the semiotic, Kristeva shows that language itself contains ambiguity and plurality, making it possible to challenge and rethink dominant meanings and hierarchies.

Kristeva's feminist contribution also highlights how the symbolic order often reflects patriarchal power structures. She introduced concepts such as the Chora, a pre-linguistic space of rhythm and movement linked to the semiotic, and the abject, which refers to what society rejects because it threatens stable identity and social boundaries. These ideas reveal that identity, meaning, and subjectivity are never fully unified or stable; they are formed through ongoing tensions and contradictions. For poststructuralist feminist research, Kristeva provides a way to "unfix meaning" by showing that both texts and gender identities are always in the process of being produced and negotiated. Her framework therefore supports feminist analysis that focuses on fluidity, multiplicity, and the continuous transformation of meaning within culture and discourse.

Judith Butler's poststructuralist feminist theory explains that gender and identity are not natural or fixed but produced through social practices and discourse. In *Gender Trouble* (1990), Butler introduces the idea of gender performativity, arguing that gender is created through repeated, socially regulated acts rather than existing as an inherent quality. This challenges essentialist beliefs that categories such as "male" and "female" are natural, showing instead that they are socially constructed and historically contingent. As a result, identity is not stable or singular but multiple, fluid, and shaped by cultural contexts. Butler develops this argument further in *Bodies That Matter* (1993), where she demonstrates that even the material body is shaped by normative discourses and power relations. Therefore, the meanings attached to bodies and identities are always provisional and open to reinterpretation. By linking identity formation to discourse and power, Butler provides a key framework for poststructuralist feminist analysis, helping scholars examine how social norms and categories both constraint and create diverse possibilities for understanding identity.

Simone de Beauvoir's *The Second Sex* (1949) is an important work in feminist theory that challenges the idea that gender is natural or fixed. Beauvoir famously states that "one is not born, but rather becomes, a woman," meaning that society, culture, and history shape what it means to be a woman rather than biology alone. She shows that women's experiences differ depending on their social, cultural, and economic conditions, which suggests that gender identity is not universal or stable. Although her ideas come from existentialist philosophy, they anticipate later poststructuralist feminist thinking by questioning the belief that identities are fixed or essential. Building on this idea, Judith Butler argues that gender is created and maintained through repeated social actions and language, a process she calls performativity. According to Butler, gender identity is not stable but constantly formed and reshaped through social practices. In this way, Beauvoir's critique of fixed gender categories helps open the path for poststructuralist feminist theories that see gender as dynamic, heterogeneous and shaped by changing social contexts.

Poststructuralist feminist theory challenges the idea that gender, identity, or meaning is fixed or universal. Instead, it emphasizes that meaning is always unstable, context – dependent, and produced through social and cultural practices. This idea of multiplicity – that there are multiple shifting interpretations of identity and texts- is central to poststructuralist feminism. It allows feminist theorists to move beyond essentialist notions of "woman" and consider the diversity of women's experiences across different historical, social, and cultural contexts.

Early feminist critics like Elaine Showalter laid the groundwork for this perspective. In *A Literature of Their Own*, Showalter examined women's literary traditions and argued that meaning is shaped by history and society rather than being inherent in the text. She highlighted the variety of women's voices and experiences, showing that there is no single, uniform female perspective. This focus on diversity and context anticipates poststructuralist ideas about the instability and multiplicity of meaning.

Language is a key site for understanding multiplicity in poststructuralist feminism. French feminist theorists like Helene Cixous and Julia Kristeva argue that traditional language reflects patriarchal norms and limits expression. Concepts like *écriture féminine* encourage writing that challenges these norms and creates space for

new forms of subjectivity. In other words, language is not neutral; it is a field where gendered meanings are produced, contested, and reconfigured, reflecting the multiple ways identity can be expressed.

Poststructuralist feminism also reevaluates gender as performative. Judith Butler explains that gender is not an innate trait, but something produced through repeated social behaviors and cultural expectations. Just as language creates multiple meanings, gender identities are constantly shaped and reshaped through social interactions. This view reinforces the idea that identity is never singular or fixed, but plural and dynamic.

Another tool poststructuralist feminists use is deconstruction, drawn from Jacques Derrida's work. Deconstruction shows that hierarchical binaries – such as male/female or rational/ emotional, speech/ writing, presence/absence, and original/ copy etc. – are socially constructed and unstable that create social hierarchy. Derrida's concept of *différance* explains that meaning is always deferred and never final. By exposing these constructed binaries, feminist theorists reveal that gender is a product of discourse rather than a natural truth. This insight further supports the principle of multiplicity: identity and meaning are always multiple and context dependent.

Finally, Intersectionality depends this understanding by showing how gender intersects with other social identities such as race, class, and sexuality. Kimberle Crenshaw introduced Intersectionality to highlight that no one woman's experience represents all women. By considering overlapping identities, feminist theorists can explore how social positions shape the meanings of gender, reinforcing the poststructuralist idea that identity and meaning are plural and relational.

Poststructuralist feminist theory shows that meaning, gender, and identity are never fixed but are produced and reshaped through language, discourse, and social practices. By unfixing hierarchical binaries and highlighting multiplicity, thinkers like Derrida, Butler, and Kristeva reveal how identities are fluid, context dependent, and socially constructed. This framework challenges essentialist and patriarchal assumptions, creating space for diverse perspectives and transformative interpretations. And in this way, poststructuralist feminism transforms theory into a strategy of resistance. Multiplicity allows feminist theory to account for plural identities and creates a possibility for feminist interpretation.

Conclusion

This study has explored how poststructuralist feminist theory challenges the notion of fixed meaning, emphasizing the fluidity and multiplicity inherent in identity, language and social norms. By interrogating the ways in which power, discourse, and subjectivity intersect, we see that meaning is not a stable or singular entity but is constantly negotiated and reconstituted. This multiplicity destabilizes traditional essentialist or universalist claims, opening space for more inclusive, heterogeneous understandings of gender and oppression.

Poststructuralism argues that meaning is never fixed and can change depending on context. This idea shows why it is important, both ethically and politically, to listen to many different voices, especially those that are often ignored or silenced by dominant groups. Since no single viewpoint can fully explain reality, poststructuralism encourages us to question authority, challenge established ideas, and include perspectives that have historically been left out, helping create a fairer and more inclusive society.

Embracing multiple perspectives does not mean adopting epistemic relativism. Instead, it highlights the richness of feminist inquiry and its ability to reveal the complex, interconnected realities of people lived experiences. And also gives space to marginalized voices and guides flexible approaches to addressing social inequalities. Insights from poststructuralist feminism provides a powerful lens for rethinking identity, personal agency, and resistance, emphasizing how complexity, ambiguity, and difference can be productive and transformative. Future research could explore how these poststructuralist insights can be applied in empirical studies, policy-making, and activism, helping feminist theory remain both critically rigorous and socially transformative.

Recommendation

1. How does digital feminist discourse destabilize fixed meanings of gender?
2. In what ways do poststructuralist feminist theory intersect with Intersectionality to produce multiplicity?
3. How can poststructuralist feminist frameworks reinterpret canonical literary texts?
4. What role does multiplicity play in contemporary feminist media representations?
5. How does unfixing meaning affect feminist understandings of identity in queer theory?
6. How does multiplicity allow feminist movements to address marginalized voices?

References

- Aashita. (2013). *Contemporary discourse on third world feminism: A critical analysis* (Doctoral dissertation, Banaras Hindu University). <http://hdl.handle.net/10603/634060>
- Abrams, M. H. (2004). *A glossary of literary terms* (7th ed.). Prism Books.
- Butler, J. (2006). *Gender trouble*. Routledge.
- Feminism. (2026, March 17). In *Wikipedia*. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Feminism>
- Habib, M. A. R. (2005). *A history of literary criticism: From Plato to the present*. Blackwell Publishing.
- Jampala, J. (2009). *Feminism: A philosophical study* (Doctoral dissertation, Andhra University). <http://hdl.handle.net/10603/386088>
- Madiwal, D. M. (2020). *Sunetra Gupta's novels: A feminist study* (Doctoral dissertation, Karnataka State Women's University). <http://hdl.handle.net/10603/523453>
- Mamidi, N. (2020). *Feminism in Rabindranath Tagore's fiction* (Doctoral dissertation, Himalayan University). <http://hdl.handle.net/10603/585542>
- Meisheri, Y. V. (2011). *Femininity and Jainism: A critical appraisal* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Mumbai). <http://hdl.handle.net/10603/523453>
- Post-structuralism. (n.d.). In *Wikipedia*. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Post-structuralism>
- Sridharan, P. (2020). *Feminism in the select novels of Anitha Nair* (Doctoral dissertation, Periyar University). <http://hdl.handle.net/10603/361145>
- Structuralism. (2026, March 15). In *Wikipedia*. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Structuralism>
- Wollstonecraft, M. (1975). *A vindication of the rights of woman*. W. W. Norton.
- Woolf, V. (2002). *A room of one's own*. Penguin Books.

TGJCT
EST. 2025